For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	EOD THE MODTHEDM DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	A CTD A II I.A. I. DUNICODD. in dividually and No. C 12 02020 WILLA
9	ASTRAILIA I. DUNFORD, individually and No. C 13-03829 WHA on behalf of all similarly situated,
10	Plaintiff,
11	v.
12	AMERICAN DATABANK LLC, REQUEST FOR RESPONSES
13	Defendant.
14	/
15	1. Defendant may file a response to plaintiff's opposition to defendant's motion for
16	summary judgment, or in the alternative, partial summary judgment (not to exceed seven pages)
17	by 5 P.M. ON JULY 25 .
18	2. Also by 5 P.M. ON JULY 25 , plaintiff shall explain (in a submission not to exceed
19	three pages) whether (and how) numerosity for the proposed classes has been satisfied.
20	(The Court is in receipt of the parties' prior stipulation (Dkt. No. 40-5).) Please lay out the
21	proposed class definitions and explain how there could be a finding that each of the proposed
22	classes is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
23	
24	
25	Dated: July 22, 2014.
26	United States District Judge